IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ROBERT NEAL DENNIS,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 3:05-CV-2360)-D
SHERIFF BOB ALFORD and)	
CAPTAIN NFN CRAIG,)	
Defendants.)	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This cause of action was referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b), as implemented by an order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge follow:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Plaintiff, an inmate confined in the Johnson County Jail, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under the provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Court finds Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be denied.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) provides:

In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

<u>Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation</u> of the United States Magistrate Judge Page 1 Plaintiff has filed previous actions in federal court. At least three of these actions were filed while Plaintiff was incarcerated and were dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim. *See Dennis v. Keeton, et al.*, No. 3:02-CV-877-P (N.D. Tex., dismissed Nov. 18, 2003); *Dennis v. Johnson*, No. 3:02-CV-1170-D (N.D. Tex., dismissed Jan. 7, 2003); *Dennis v. Keeton, et al.*, No. 3:02-CV-1171-R (N.D. Tex., dismissed July 31, 2002). Finally, the pleadings in this case do not show that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. The Court therefore recommends that Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* be DENIED.

RECOMMENDATION:

For the foregoing reasons, the Court recommends that the District Court deny Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Court further recommends that the District Court dismiss this action pursuant to § 1915(g), unless Plaintiff tenders the \$250.00 filing fee to the District Clerk within ten (10) days of the filing of this recommendation.

Signed December 14, 2005.

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAĞISTRATE JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL/OBJECT

The United States District Clerk shall serve a true copy of these findings, conclusions and recommendation on Plaintiff. Pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 636(b)(1), any party who desires to object to these findings, conclusions and recommendation must serve and file written objections within ten days after being served with a copy. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings, conclusions or recommendation to which objections are being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusory or general objections. A party's failure to file such written objections to these proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation shall bar that party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Additionally, any failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation within ten days after being served with a copy shall bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the Magistrate Judge that are accepted by the District Court, except upon grounds of plain error. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).